

### Remarks

Reconsideration is requested in view of the above amendments and the following remarks. Claims 1-42 remain pending.

#### I. Rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph

Claims 3, 7-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicants respectfully traverse.

The claims have been amended to remove reference to electric, magnetic and/or electromagnetic field. The claims now refer to an excitation field. The claims are enabled. The specification clearly indicates that excitation fields other than electromagnetic fields can be used. Although the example mentions one type of field, the claims are not limited to examples and embodiments disclosed in the specification.

Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

#### II. Rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph

Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

The claims have been extensively revised to place the claims into better U.S. form. Applicants believe that the amendments address the issues raised by the Examiner.

The claims are definite and withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

#### III. Conclusion

Applicants believe that the application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration is requested. If any further questions arise, the Examiner is welcome to contact Applicant's representative at the number listed below.



Date: March 1, 2005

Respectfully submitted,  
MERCHANT & GOULD  
P. O. Box 2903  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903  
(612) 336-4708

By James A. Larson  
James A. Larson  
Reg. No. 40,443